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Disclaimer

Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau.

All results have been reviewed to ensure that no confidential information is disclosed.
Increasing Inequality

Increasing inequality refers to a widening distribution of income or earnings (from red to blue).

Increasing inequality is often measured using:
- the 90/10 ratio
- the amount of mass in the tails
Increasing Inequality

Source: Saez (2013)
Increasing Inequality

- The growth of inequality is a frequent topic in current policy discussions
  -- Inequality and upward mobility has been referred to as “the defining challenge of our time” (President Obama, 12/4/13)

- Claim: almost everything we know empirically about inequality in the U.S. comes from CPS and IRS data
  -- Published time series of 90-10, 90-50, and 50-10 from CPS
  -- Published top percentile shares from IRS
Goals of this Presentation

Two goals of this presentation:

A] Review publicly available statistics from BLS, Census, and the IRS that inform us about increasing inequality
B] Introduce another data source (LEHD) with time series information about increasing inequality

What is the value added of LEHD statistics?

-- Comparison and confirmation
-- Utilize the large sample of the LEHD to provide inequality statistics by detailed demographic and job characteristics
Outline of Today’s Presentation

1) Publicly available 90-10 statistics from CPS
   a) Outgoing Rotation Group (ORG)
   b) Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC)

2) Publicly available top percentile shares from IRS, SSA, and CPS ASEC

3) New LEHD inequality statistics
   a) 90-10, 90-50, and 50-10 statistics
   b) Top percentile shares
   c) New statistics by demographic & job characteristics
Published \{10,50,90\} Percentiles

**CPS ORG**
- Annual 1979 – current
- Quarterly 1994 - current
- [http://www.bls.gov/webapps/legacy/cpswktab5.htm](http://www.bls.gov/webapps/legacy/cpswktab5.htm)
- Usual weekly earnings of full time wage & salary workers currently employed at their main job

**CPS ASEC**
- Annual 1967 – current
- [http://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/p60-245.pdf](http://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/p60-245.pdf)
- Previous year income from all jobs for all persons currently residing in the household
Increasing Inequality
CPS ORG and CPS ASEC
Increasing Inequality
CPS ORG and CPS ASEC

\[
\ln(P_{90}) - \ln(P_{50}), \text{ CPS ASEC, 1997}=100
\]

\[
\ln(P_{50}) - \ln(P_{10}), \text{ CPS ASEC, 1997}=100
\]

\[
\ln(P_{90}) - \ln(P_{50}), \text{ CPS ORG, 1997}=100
\]

\[
\ln(P_{50}) - \ln(P_{10}), \text{ CPS ORG, 1997}=100
\]
## Published Top Percentile Shares

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRS (Saez, Table A2)</strong></td>
<td>Annual income of tax units; Annual salaries and wages of tax units</td>
<td>1917–current</td>
<td><a href="http://elsa.berkeley.edu/~saez/TabFig2012prel.xls">http://elsa.berkeley.edu/~saez/TabFig2012prel.xls</a></td>
<td>(1) Annual income of tax units; (2) Annual salaries and wages of tax units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSA (Saez, Table B5)</strong></td>
<td>Annual earnings of individuals</td>
<td>1990–current</td>
<td><a href="http://elsa.berkeley.edu/~saez/TabFig2012prel.xls">http://elsa.berkeley.edu/~saez/TabFig2012prel.xls</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Increasing Inequality
IRS, SSA, & CPS ASEC

Top 5% Share
- CPS ASEC
- IRS Income (ex c.g.)
- IRS Wage income
- SSA

Top 1% Share
- IRS Income (ex c.g.)
- IRS Wage income
- SSA
LEHD Data

- Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics
  - Longitudinally linked employer-employee microdata
  - Created at the U.S. Census Bureau
  - Microdata from the State UI administrative systems wage records and QCEW establishment data
  - Enhanced with demographics (age, gender, ...)
  - Enhanced with firm information (age, size)

- Different states have joined the LEHD at different times, and have provided different amounts of historical data
  - This presentation: 20 states with data from 1996:Q2 to 2012:Q2
  - These 20 states account for 48% of national employment
LEHD Data

**Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW)**
- Employer and Establishment (Single/Multi-unit)
- Geography
- Industry
- Ownership

**Federal EIN**
- Business Dynamics Statistics (BDS)
  - Firm age and size

**UI Account Number (SEIN)**
- Unemployment Insurance Earnings Records
  - Employer-Worker *(most states)*
  - Establishment-Worker *(Minnesota only)*
  - Earnings
  - Job history

**PIK (encoded SSN)**
- Census, Surveys, Other Administrative Records
  - Demographics
  - Place of Residence

---

United States Census Bureau
U.S. Department of Commerce
Economics and Statistics Administration
U.S. CENSUS BUREAU
census.gov
LEHD \{10,50,90\} Earnings Percentiles

- Attempting to mimic the CPS-ORG earnings data
  - Quarterly earnings of individuals in their main full quarter job
  - “Full quarter job” is defined as the middle quarter of 3 consecutive quarters at the same employer
  - 2.6 billion full-quarter jobs 1996:Q2 – 2012:Q2
    approximately 39½ million FQ jobs each quarter (65 quarters)

- Compare to the CPS-ORG quarterly data
  - All statistics seasonally adjusted
  - All statistics converted to real (2012 CPI-U-RS) natural-logarithms
CPS-ORG (*13) and LEHD

{10,50,90} earnings percentiles, quarterly SA, real 2012 $

Very similar 50th & 90th percentiles

But different 10th percentiles:

-- perhaps “*13” is a poor method of transforming CPS weekly earnings to quarterly earnings

-- perhaps there are part-time (<35 hours) workers in the LEHD
Increasing Inequality, 1996 - 2012
CPS-ORG (*13) & LEHD, quarterly SA, real 2012 $

\ln(P_{90}^{*13}) - \ln(P_{10}^{*13})$, Published CPS ORG, 1997=100
\ln(P_{90}) - \ln(P_{10})$, LEHD FQ Main Job, 1997=100
LEHD Top Percentile Shares

- Attempting to mimic the CPS-ASEC and IRS data
  -- Annual earnings of individuals from all jobs during the year
  -- 943 million individual-year observations, 1997 – 2011
  approximately 63 million persons each year (15 years)
IRS, SSA, CPS-ASEC, and LEHD

Top 5% Share

- CPS ASEC
- IRS Income (ex c.g.)
- IRS Wage Income
- SSA
- LEHD

Top 1% Share

- IRS Income (ex c.g.)
- IRS Wage Income
- SSA
- LEHD
Summary of Comparison

- Acknowledge differences in scope and definitions

- Comparing LEHD \{10, 50, 90\} with CPS ORG:
  - 50\textsuperscript{th} & 90\textsuperscript{th} percentiles almost identical, 10\textsuperscript{th} different
  - 90/10, 90/50 and 50/10 trends very similar

- Comparing LEHD top % shares with IRS wages & SSA:
  - Levels similar, all show growth during 1997-2011
  - Time series correlations are >.8

- Now turn to the value added of LEHD statistics
90/10 Ratios by Firm Size, LEHD

\[ \ln(P90) - \ln(P10), \text{ LEHD FQ Main Job, 1997=100} \]

- \( \text{ln(P90) - ln(P10), LEHD FQ Main Job, 1997=100} \)
- \( \text{ln(P90) - ln(P10) Firm Size <20, 1997=100} \)
- \( \text{ln(P90) - ln(P10) Firm Size 20-99, 1997=100} \)
- \( \text{ln(P90) - ln(P10) Firm Size 100-999, 1997=100} \)
- \( \text{ln(P90) - ln(P10) Firm Size 1000+, 1997=100} \)
The Role of the Firm

We know that quite a bit of cross-sectional earnings variance is across establishments:

c) Dunne, Foster, Haltiwanger, & Troske (2004): 53-69% [Manufacturing]
d) Lane, Salmon, & Spletzer (2007): 50% [All industries]
e) Handwerker & Spletzer (2014): 55% [All industries]

We also know that a sizeable amount of the growth in earnings variance is across establishments:

b) Dunne, Foster, Haltiwanger, & Troske (2004): 90% [Manufacturing]
c) Handwerker & Spletzer (2014): 73% [All industries]
The Role of the Firm (continued)

We switch from 90-10 ratios to variances

Why? To use the simple decomposition

Total Variance = Variance Across Firms + Variance Within Firms
Variance Decomposition: LEHD

On average, 50.3% of cross-sectional earnings variance is across firms.

93.5% of the growth in earnings variance is across firms.
LEHD Top Percentile Shares

What are the age and gender distributions of workers in the top 5%?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>All Workers</th>
<th>Workers in the top 5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>All Workers</th>
<th>Workers in the top 5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46.9%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions for FESAC

1) Are the inequality statistics currently in the public domain sufficient for analysts and policymakers, or should the statistical agencies publish similar statistics from other data sources?

2) Do you have any thoughts about the similarities and differences in inequality statistics from different data sources? Differences undoubtedly reflect different concepts and definitions across data sources, but differences might also signal issues that the statistical agencies should research and understand.

3) One goal of publishing inequality statistics is to help understand why inequality is increasing. As such, are there any specific statistics from the LEHD that you would find useful?