Seasonal Adjustment Update



Dave Wasshausen
Federal Economic Statistics Advisory Committee Meeting
June 8, 2018

Strategy for Addressing Residual Seasonality



- Maintain our policy of applying indirect seasonal adjustment
- Use SA data from source agencies to greatest extent possible
- Use X-13ARIMA-SEATS
 - Ensure consistent approach within NEA
- Resolve residual seasonality in NIPA aggregates for:
 - GDP by final expenditure (1.1.x level series)
 - GDI by major component (1.10 level series)
- Over two time spans:
 - "Full" (1947-present)
 - "Most recent 15" (2003-2017)

Evaluating Residual Seasonality (RS)



Three criteria evaluated to determine presence of RS:

- QS statistic
 - Evaluates positive serial autocorrelation in series
 - Tests null hypothesis that no seasonality is present (p < 0.01)
- F test
 - Tests for stable seasonality (F > 7.00)
- M7 test
 - Tests for stable and moving seasonality (M7 < 1.00)

Recent Seasonal Adjustment Improvements



- Carryback previously introduced improvements, including:
 - Federal defense services
 - Consumer spending on services
 - State and local investment in structures
 - Inventories (selected industries)
- Federal pay raises
- Exports of services
- Increased collaboration with data providers

Approach Beyond 2018 CR



- Follow proposed procedures/strategies for future annual updates
 - Test 15-year time spans

Expand minimum revision period open for annual updates to 5 years

Continue to collaborate with Census

Newly Available NSA Estimates



- Estimates available for current dollars, prices and quantities
 - At time of the current-estimate release
 - Initial publication level with be NIPA Tables 1.1.x (product side) and 1.10 (income side)

- Estimates beginning with 2002 released July 27th
 - Current dollar estimates prior to 2002 to be published later this year

Weak Q1 investigations



- Applied a variety of statistical tests to evaluate this more rigorously
 - Various regressions with dummies, including GDP percent change against GDP percent change lagged, with dummies:
 - Dummies for Q1, Q2, and Q3
 - Dummy for Q1
- As with RS testing, results vary depending on the span tested
 - Analyzed several different permutations of spans
- No consistently weak component