116 September 2007

Personal Income for Metropolitan Areas for 2006

By David G. Lenze

In 2006, personal income growth accelerated in nearly 70 percent of the Nation's metropolitan areas, according to the most recent estimates from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). Much of the faster growth was spurred by changes in compensation. Compensation growth in most private industries, including durable-goods manufacturing and professional services, accelerated, while compensation growth at all levels of government, especially the military, slowed (table A).

The 2006 estimates were based on a methodology that speeded the release of personal income estimates for metropolitan statistical areas—a major goal in BEA's strategic plan. The estimates for 2006 were released in August, an acceleration of 8 months compared with the release schedule before the new

Table A. Industry Contribution to the Percent Change in Personal Income for the Metropolitan Portion of the United States in 2005 and 2006 [Percentage points]

[
	2005	2006	Change from the preceding period
All industries	3.90	4.68	0.78
Farm	0.01	0.01	0.00
Forestry, fishing, related activities, and other	0.01	0.01	0.00
Mining	0.06	0.07	0.01
Utilities	0.01	0.01	0.00
Construction	0.34	0.44	0.10
Durable-goods manufacturing	0.22	0.36	0.14
Nondurable-goods manufacturing	0.10	0.10	0.00
Wholesale trade	0.24	0.34	0.10
Retail trade	0.19	0.19	0.00
Transportation and warehousing	0.09	0.13	0.04
Information	0.05	0.15	0.10
Finance and insurance	0.38	0.50	0.12
Real estate and rental and leasing	0.08	0.09	0.01
Professional and technical services	0.50	0.63	0.13
Management of companies and enterprises	0.16	0.12	-0.04
Administrative and waste services	0.21	0.21	0.00
Educational services	0.07	0.08	0.01
Health care and social assistance	0.38	0.44	0.06
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0.02	0.06	0.04
Accommodation and food services	0.11	0.15	0.04
Other services, except public administration	0.06	0.10	0.04
Federal, civilian	0.09	0.06	-0.03
Military	0.10	0.02	-0.08
State and local	0.43	0.41	-0.02

Note. An industry's contribution to percent change in personal income equals the dollar change in that industry's compensation divided by personal income in the previous year times 100.


methodology was adopted and an acceleration of 1 month compared with last year.

Personal income highlights

The unweighted average of personal income growth rates for the Nation's 363 metropolitan areas was 6.3 percent in 2006, up from 5.3 percent in 2005. Ninety percent of metropolitan areas had growth rates within 3 percentage points of the average (chart 1). Personal income growth accelerated in 252 metropolitan areas (nearly 70 percent). Also, personal income in all but 9 metropolitan areas exceeded inflation as measured by the national price index for personal consumption expenditures, which rose 2.8 percent in 2006; all but 18 areas exceeded the 2.9-percent inflation rate in 2005.

Some of the largest accelerations were in the Gulf Coast in areas recovering from Hurricane Katrina—New Orleans, Lake Charles, Houma, and Lafayette, all in Louisiana; Gulfport and Hattiesburg, both in Mississippi; and Mobile, Alabama.

Chart 1. Distribution of Personal Income Growth Rates Across 363 Metropolitan Areas



^{1.} Personal income is defined as the income received by all persons from all sources. It is the sum of net earnings by place of residence, rental income of persons, personal dividend income, personal interest income, and personal current transfer receipts. Net earnings is earnings by place of work (the sum of wage and salary disbursements (payrolls), supplements to wages and salaries, and proprietors' income) less contributions for government social insurance plus a residence adjustment to convert earnings by place of work to earnings by place of residence. Personal income is measured before the deduction of personal income taxes and other personal

The biggest slowdowns in personal income growth were in metropolitan areas with large Army and Marine Corps bases (where troops are trained, mobilized, and deployed among other things)—Jacksonville and Fayetteville, both in North Carolina; Clarksville, Tennessee; Hinesville and Columbus, both in Georgia; and Killeen, Texas. All of these areas had very large increases in personal income in 2005. Military compensation at the bases in these areas had grown at very high, double-digit rates from 2003 to 2005 because of the Iraq and Afghanistan wars and slowed to single-digit growth (or a decline in the case of Hinesville, Georgia) in 2006.

Construction was particularly robust in areas with the fastest population growth—St. George, Utah; Bend, Oregon; Cape Coral, Florida; Myrtle Beach, South Carolina; and Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Population in these metropolitan areas grew at least 4.8 percent, almost five times as fast as the metropolitan portion of the Nation. The exception was Palm Coast, Florida. It had the fastest population growth in the Nation (9.3 percent), but construction contributed only 0.1 percentage point to personal income growth.

The construction sector in the Gulf Coast areas recovering from Hurricane Katrina also contributed substantially to personal income growth. In Lake Charles and Houma, both in Louisiana, construction contributed more than 2 percentage points.

However, for all metropolitan areas combined, the construction sector contributed only 0.4 percentage point to personal income growth. Most metropolitan areas in which construction subtracted from personal income growth were in Great Lakes states (Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, and Wisconsin).

Acknowledgments

The accelerated estimates of metropolitan area personal income were prepared by Jeffrey L. Newman, with assistance from Carrie L. Litkowski and Daniel R. Corrin on the farm income components, under the supervision of James M. Zavrel, Chief of the Regional Income Branch, and by Michael G. Pilot under the supervision of Sharon C. Carnevale, Chief of the Regional Wage Branch. Methodological research and analysis of the estimates were provided by David G. Lenze, Assistant to the Chief of the Regional Economic Measurement Division. The tables were prepared by Alison Adam, Gary V. Kennedy, Michael J. Paris, and Callan Swenson under the supervision of Kathy A. Albetski, Chief of the Regional Economic Information System Branch. Robert L. Brown, Chief of the Regional Economic Measurement Division, provided general guidance.

Per capita personal income

Per capita personal income growth rates in 2006 ranged from 90 percent in New Orleans, Louisiana, to –0.1 percent in Hinesville, Georgia. The unweighted average growth was 5.2 percent. In 2005, average per capita income growth was 4.2 percent. Per capita personal income growth is a measure that highlights differences in economic conditions across metropolitan areas by removing the effect of differential population growth rates.

Most of the metropolitan areas with the fastest per capita personal income growth had relatively large mining sectors (including oil and gas extraction) or a relatively large manufacturing sector that processes petroleum. Coincidentally, these metropolitan areas include many along the Gulf Coast that are recovering from Hurricane Katrina—New Orleans, Lake Charles, Houma, and Lafayette, all in Louisiana, and Pascagoula, Mississippi. The other metropolitan areas were primarily in Texas (Odessa, Midland, Beaumont, Victoria, Longview, Wichita Falls, and Abilene), but they also included Tulsa and Oklahoma City in Oklahoma; Casper, Wyoming; and Farmington, New Mexico.

The growth in the mining sector had ripple effects on other industries in these metropolitan areas, particularly construction. The construction sector contributed at least 2 percentage points to per capita income growth in Odessa and Beaumont, both in Texas.

Several of the areas with the slowest per capita personal income growth were in southern California and Arizona. These areas (Merced, Madera, El Centro, and

Data Availability

This article presents summary accelerated estimates of metropolitan area personal income and per capita personal income for 2006 as well as previously published estimates for 2004–2005. More detailed estimates for 1969–2006 are also available.

The following estimates for metropolitan areas are available at <www.bea.gov/bea/regional/reis>:

- Metropolitan area income and employment summary, featuring personal income—both farm and nonfarm—and per capita personal income as well as the derivation of personal income, 1969–2006, and employment totals, which are available for 1969–2005).
- Compensation of employees by industry by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) subsectors for 2001–2005 and by NAICS sectors for 2006.

For more information, call BEA's Regional Economic Information System at 202–606–5360, fax 202–606–5322, or e-mail <reis.remd@bea.gov>.

Visalia, all in California, and Yuma, Arizona) have large farming sectors that declined in 2006. Metropolitan areas generate about half of all farm income in the United States.

Source data

The 2006 metropolitan area personal income estimates detailed in this article were released in August on an accelerated schedule—8 months ahead of the previous publication schedule. According to past schedules, metropolitan area personal income for 2006 would not have been published until April 2008 as part of local area personal income. This acceleration has been a major goal for the regional program in accordance with BEA's strategic plan. It was accomplished by preparing the estimates in less detail than the local area personal income estimates and by extrapolating various components of income for which source data were lacking. Nevertheless, the amount of published detail is substantial and comparable with that of the quarterly state personal income estimates. The estimates for 2006 are entirely consistent with estimates for earlier years released last April.

Although the source data available for 2006 were limited, data for the key component—wage and salary disbursements—were available. Therefore, BEA believes that the estimates represent an acceptable tradeoff between reliability and timeliness. Wage and salary disbursements for all four quarters in 2006 from the Bureau of Labor Statistics' Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages represent nearly 60 percent of 2006 personal income. Other components of compensation—such as employer contributions for social insurance (that is, social security and Medicare taxes)—were extrapolated on the basis of wages.

In addition, some metropolitan area data on crop and livestock production and complete metropolitan area data on Government payments to farmers were available for estimating farm proprietors' income. The number of unemployed persons during 2006 was available for estimating state unemployment insurance transfer receipts.

Other personal income components, personal current transfer receipts and property income (dividends, interest, and rent), were extrapolated on the basis of resident population estimates prepared by the Census Bureau.

Additional state-level data for 2006 used in the preparation of state control totals were also available. In particular, 12 months of cash receipts from farm marketing were available for estimating state farm proprietors' income, as were annual state estimates of inventory change and government payments for 2006. Additional national-level data used in the 2006 NIPA

estimates of personal income also indirectly benefited the metropolitan area estimates since the NIPA estimates control the sum of the state personal income estimates just as the state estimates control the metropolitan area estimates.

Economic and demographic data from the 2006 Louisiana Health and Population Survey were used to supplement standard data sources in the preparation of estimates for the metropolitan areas in Louisiana.


Revisions

Estimates for 2005 were revised in April 2007, when estimates of local area personal income were released. The revisions to total personal income were small. For 251 metropolitan areas (nearly 70 percent), personal income growth was revised up or down 1.0 percent or less (chart 2). A substantial amount of the revision can be attributed to a revised national personal income estimate, which controls the sum of the estimates of state and county personal income. U.S. personal income growth was revised up 0.4 percent. The average revision for all metropolitan areas was 0.5 percent (with a standard deviation of 0.9), and the mean absolute revision was 0.8 percent.

There were 10 areas for which the upward revision was significantly larger than average and 11 areas for which the absolute value of the downward revision was significantly larger than average (table B).

For five Louisiana metropolitan areas (Houma, Lafayette, Lake Charles, Monroe, and Shreveport), large upward revisions to nonfarm proprietors' income in the mining industry in 2004 accounted for at least half of the downward revision to their 2005 personal income growth rates and for about 40 percent of the downward revision to personal income growth in Alexandria, Louisiana.

Chart 2. Distribution of Revisions to Metropolitan Area Personal Income Growth Rates, 2005



The revision for Sarasota, Florida, was largely due to the breakout of employment for establishments in the professional employer organization industry to better identify the county and industry of the jobs.² This breakout was introduced into the local area personal income estimates for 2005 and earlier years last April. It reduced employment and wages in Sarasota, Florida's administrative and support services industry and increased employment and wages in other industries and counties in Florida. Naples, Florida, received a relatively large share of the breakout.³

Some of the additional wages paid by establishments in Naples were received by commuters living in surrounding counties, as indicated by the reduction in

that metropolitan area's residence adjustment (table B). By the same token, the reduced payrolls in Sarasota meant that commuters removed less income from the area, raising the residence adjustment.⁴

For Champaign and Springfield, both in Illinois, and in Kokomo, Indiana, almost all of the revision to personal income can be accounted for by employer contributions for employee pension and insurance funds. This component was also responsible for a large portion of the revision for Kankakee, Illinois. In recent years, several state governments have made special one-time contributions to their employee pension funds to reduce unfunded liabilities.

For Owensboro, Kentucky; Yakima, Washington; Yuba City, California; and Yuma, Arizona, more than half of the revision was accounted for by revised farm proprietors' income. Farm proprietors' income was also responsible for a large portion of the revisions for Clarksville, Tennessee; Dubuque, Iowa; and Greenville, North Carolina.

Table B. Contributions to the Revisions to Metropolitan Area Personal Income Growth Rates by Component of Personal Income, 2005

		Component Revision (percentage points) to total											
		Wage and salary dis- bursements	Employer contributions for employee pension and insurance funds	Farm propri- etors' income	Nonfarm propri- etors' income	Employee and self-employed contributions for govern- ment social insurance	Dividends, interest, and rent	Personal current transfer receipts	Residence adjust- ment				
Upward revisions													
Yuma, AZ	3.3	0.4	-0.2	1.7	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.0				
Clarksville, TN-KY	2.9	0.1	1.1	1.0	-0.1	-0.2	0.9	0.1	0.0				
Greenville, NC	2.9	0.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	-0.1	0.9	0.4	0.2				
Champaign-Urbana, IL	2.8	-0.1	2.8	-0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3	-0.1				
Kankakee-Bradley, IL	2.7	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.1				
Kokomo, IN	2.6	0.0	2.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.5	-0.9				
Owensboro, KY	2.5	-0.1	0.2	2.2	-0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0				
Yakima, WA	2.5	0.2	-0.1	1.7	-0.2	-0.1	0.9	0.1	0.0				
Jacksonville, NC	2.5	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.0	-0.2	1.3	0.4	0.0				
Springfield, IL	2.3	0.0	2.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.3	0.4	-0.2				
Downward revisions													
Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk, CT	-1.3	-0.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	-0.3	-0.6				
Dubuque, IA	-1.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1				
Yuba City, CA	-1.4	0.2	-0.2	-1.3	0.1	0.1	0.5	-0.8	-0.1				
Lake Charles, LA	-1.5	-0.1	0.2	0.1	-1.4	-0.3	-0.9	0.9	0.0				
Shreveport-Bossier City, LA	-1.8	-0.1	0.1	0.0	-1.0	-0.2	-0.5	-0.2	0.1				
Sarasota-Bradenton-Venice, FL	-1.8	-0.8	-0.3	0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.5				
Houma-Bayou Cane-Thibodaux, LA	-2.2	-0.1	-0.3	0.1	-1.1	-0.3	-0.8	0.2	0.1				
Lafayette, LA	-2.2	0.0	-0.3	0.1	-1.1	-0.3	-0.8	0.1	0.2				
Monroe, LA	-2.4	-0.1	-0.2	0.1	-1.5	-0.1	-0.4	-0.1	0.0				
Naples-Marco Island, FL	-2.7	-0.7	-0.3	0.1	-0.2	0.0	-1.1	0.1	-0.7				
Alexandria, LA	-2.9	-0.2	-0.3	0.1	-1.2	-0.2	-0.5	-0.8	0.0				

Note. A component's contribution to the revision to the percent growth in personal income is the difference between the revised and the accelerated contributions of that component to the percent change in personal income. Its contribution to the percent change in personal income

equals the dollar change in that component divided by personal income in 2004 times 100. The accelerated estimates were released in September 2006.

^{2.} Professional employer organizations (PEOs), like temporary help organizations, provide employees to other firms. PEOs generally lease the employees on a permanent basis. The employees are recorded as employed by the PEO in the administrative and support services industry even though they might be working in construction, manufacturing or another industry in a city far from the offices of the PEO. Because of their large size and rapid growth, PEOs have adversely affected the geographical and industrial accuracy of labor statistics, especially in Florida. The Bureau of Labor Statistics has been working with PEOs to motivate them to break out their employment to reflect the actual industry and county of the worksites.

^{3.} According to table B, the revision to wages in Naples, Florida reduced the growth rate of personal income because 2004 wages were revised up more than 2005 wages.

^{4.} According to table B, the revision to the residence adjustment in Sarasota, Florida, reduced the growth rate of personal income because the 2004 residence adjustment was revised up more than the 2005 residence adjustment.

Table 1. Personal Income and Per Capita Personal Income by Metropolitan Area, 2004–2006—Continues

		Р	ersonal income	9		Per capita personal income ¹							
Area name	Millions of dollars			Percent ch preceding			Dollars		Rank in United States	Percent change from preceding period			
	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2005	2006 ^p	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2006°	2005	2006 ^p		
United States	9,716,351	10,220,942	10,870,319	5.2	6.4	33,090	34,471	36,307		4.2	5.3		
Metropolitan portion of the United States	8,476,476	8,924,022	9,508,992	5.3	6.6	34,700	36,140	38,109		4.1	5.4		
Metropolitan Statistical Areas ³													
Abilene, TX	4,161	4,390	4,700	5.5	7.1	26,289	27,760	29,738	245	5.6	7.1		
Akron, OH	22,573	23,425	24,424	3.8	4.3	32,181	33,396	34,845	109	3.8	4.3		
Albany, GA	3,835	4,060	4,214	5.9	3.8	23,657	24,941	25,700	343	5.4	3.0		
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NYAlbuquerque, NM		30,159 24,631	31,757 26,671	3.7 5.8	5.3 8.3	34,452 29,836	35,590 30,884	37,320 32,652	67 155	3.3 3.5	4.9 5.7		
Alexandria, LA		4,289	4,522	6.0	5.4	27,592	29,115	30,129	225	5.5	3.5		
Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ	25,282	26,698	28,301	5.6	6.0	32,447	33,808	35,361	96	4.2	4.6		
Altoona, PA		3,489	3,625	3.6	3.9	26,502	27,562	28,660	278	4.0	4.0		
Amarillo, TX		6,727 2,553	7,240 2,704	5.7 4.1	7.6 5.9	27,000 30,554	28,170 32,002	29,976 33,734	231 135	4.3 4.7	6.4 5.4		
Anchorage, AK		13,508	14,416	6.1	6.7	36,810	38,421	40,137	38	4.4	4.5		
Anderson, IN	3,631	3,741	3,874	3.0	3.6	27,837	28,688	29,672	247	3.1	3.4		
Anderson, SC	4,511	4,726	4,988	4.8	5.5	26,039	26,968	28,030	298	3.6	3.9		
Ann Arbor, MI	13,087 2,967	13,578 3,160	13,893 3,340	3.8 6.5	2.3 5.7	38,635 26,518	39,689 28,156	40,381 29,584	36 249	2.7 6.2	1.7 5.1		
Appleton, WI		7,194	7,553	4.4	5.0	32,366	33,436	34,758	110	3.3	4.0		
Asheville, NC	10.840	11,522	12,254	6.3	6.4	28,005	29,405	30,789	206	5.0	4.7		
Athens-Clarke County, GA	4,408	4,670	4,953	6.0	6.1	25,162	25,594	26,702	326	1.7	4.3		
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA		173,159 9,274	184,186 9,730	7.0 6.3	6.4 4.9	33,553 32,566	34,825 34,307	35,846 35,823	89 91	3.8 5.3	2.9 4.4		
Auburn-Opelika, AL		3,054	3,275	6.4	7.2	23,848	24,804	26,034	339	4.0	5.0		
Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	13,945	14,687	15,353	5.3	4.5	27,100	28,361	29,342	254	4.7	3.5		
Austin-Round Rock, TX		50,102	54,955	8.5	9.7	32,726	34,441	36,308	82	5.2	5.4		
Bakersfield, CA	17,660 103,138	18,924 109,543	20,087 115,770	7.2 6.2	6.1 5.7	24,067 39,032	24,999 41,320	25,748 43,549	342 21	3.9 5.9	3.0 5.4		
Bangor, ME		4,215	4,419	4.5	4.8	27,522	28,711	30,021	229	4.3	4.6		
Barnstable Town, MA	9,530	9,949	10,399	4.4	4.5	41,851	43,992	46,258	12	5.1	5.2		
Baton Rouge, LA		21,687	23,591	7.6	8.8	27,782	29,654	30,777	207	6.7	3.8		
Battle Creek, MI		3,919 3.047	4,029 3.169	2.9 1.7	2.8 4.0	27,332 27,453	28,289 27,984	29,197 29,234	257 256	3.5 1.9	3.2 4.5		
Bay City, MI Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX		10,939	11,682	8.5	6.8	26,345	28,550	30,772	209	8.4	7.8		
Bellingham, WA	5,065	5,420	5,742	7.0	5.9	28,116	29,561	30,881	199	5.1	4.5		
Bend, OR		4,534	4,995	8.9	10.2	31,001	32,094	33,493	137	3.5	4.4		
Billings, MT Binghamton, NY		4,829 7,122	5,107 7,547	6.2 4.0	5.8 6.0	31,464 27,482	32,963 28,728	34,481 30,488	116 219	4.8 4.5	4.6 6.1		
Birmingham-Hoover, AL		38,809	41.399	6.3	6.7	33,816	35,663	37,635	65	5.5	5.5		
Bismarck, ND	3,046	3,226	3,387	5.9	5.0	31,134	32,452	33,491	138	4.2	3.2		
Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Radford, VA		3,720	3,939	6.4	5.9	23,225	24,647	25,994	340	6.1	5.5		
Bloomington, INBloomington-Normal, IL	4,709 5,074	4,905 5,231	5,136 5,563	4.2 3.1	4.7 6.3	26,558 32,157	27,598 32,905	28,738 34,511	273 114	3.9 2.3	4.1 4.9		
Boise City-Nampa, ID		17,732	19,485	8.3	9.9	31,207	32,527	34,326	122	4.2	5.5		
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH	201,089	209,847	223,140	4.4	6.3	45,195	47,168	50,085	6	4.4	6.2		
Boulder, CO		12,815	13,642	6.7	6.5	43,076	45,849	48,324	10	6.4	5.4		
Bowling Green, KY	2,894 8,189	3,100 8,602	3,292 9,106	7.1 5.0	6.2 5.9	26,568	27,945	29,050 37,847	263 60	5.2 4.4	4.0 6.3		
Bremerton-Silverdale, WA	57,845	60,615	64,743	4.8	6.8	34,101 64,224	35,616 67,269	71,901	1	4.4	6.9		
Brownsville-Harlingen, TX		6,597	7,100	6.0	7.6	16,783	17,410	18,313	362	3.7	5.2		
Brunswick, GA	2,875	3,019	3,255	5.0	7.8	29,578	30,772	32,347	166	4.0	5.1		
Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY		36,715 3,863	38,335 4,099	3.1 4.8	4.4 6.1	30,912 26,668	32,071 27,551	33,701 28,732	136 274	3.7 3.3	5.1 4.3		
Burlington, NO	6,976	7,262	7,627	4.0	5.0	34,115	35,385	37,022	75	3.7	4.6		
Canton-Massillon, OH	11,386	11,811	12,192	3.7	3.2	27,780	28,841	29,754	244	3.8	3.2		
Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL		19,905	21,756	8.3	9.3 4.3	35,728	36,577	38,079	58 39	2.4 6.2	4.1		
Carson City, NV	1,997 2,659	2,118 2,888	2,209 3,225	6.0 8.6	4.3 11.7	35,697 38,550	37,898 41,462	39,958 45,815	14	6.2 7.6	5.4 10.5		
Cedar Rapids, IA	7.930	8,282	8,812	4.4	6.4	32,387	33,530	35,344	97	3.5	5.4		
Champaign-Urbana, IL	6,261	6,397	6,780	2.2	6.0	29,144	29,687	31,302	193	1.9	5.4		
Charleston, WV		9,400	9,931	4.0	5.6	29,448	30,703	32,505	160	4.3	5.9		
Charleston-North Charleston, SC Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC		18,253 55,931	19,535 60,508	7.3 8.3	7.0 8.2	29,223 35,075	30,844 36,761	32,387 38,223	165 55	5.5 4.8	5.0 4.0		
Charlottesville, VA	6,269	6,688	7,166	6.7	7.2	33,813	35,570	37,663	64	5.2	5.9		
Chattanooga TN-GA	14 463	15,221	16,142	5.2	6.1	29,629	30,952	32,499	161	4.5	5.0		
Cheyenne, WY	2,973	3,124	3,410	5.1	9.2	34,983	36,739	39,936	40	5.0	8.7		
Chico, CA	350,111 5,517	367,957 5,811	391,262 6,183	5.1 5.3	6.3 6.4	37,268 25,948	38,951 27,136	41,161 28,641	31 281	4.5 4.6	5.7 5.5		
Cincinnati-Middletown, OH-KY-IN	70,041	73,103	76,521	4.4	4.7	33,758	34,961	36,366	78	3.6	4.0		
Clarksville, TN-KY	6,537	7,412	7,846	13.4	5.9	27,527	30,515	32,624	156	10.9	6.9		
Cleveland, TN	2,847	3,005	3,134	5.6	4.3	26,605	27,785	28,631	282 74	4.4 3.9	3.0		
Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH Coeur d'Alene, ID		75,278 3,445	78,371 3,783	3.5 7.5	4.1 9.8	34,078 26,191	35,423 26,970	37,070 28,765	74 271	3.9	4.6 6.7		
College Station-Bryan, TX	4,426	4,753	5,139	7.4	8.1	23,454	25,019	26,743	325	6.7	6.9		
Colorado Springs, CO	18.360	19,696	20,647	7.3	4.8	31,726	33,569	34,462	117	5.8	2.7		
Columbia, MO	4,517	4,773	5,087	5.7	6.6	29,905	31,141	32,608	158	4.1	4.7		
Columbia, SC	20,139 7,934	21,288 8,550	22,737 8,969	5.7 7.8	6.8 4.9	29,419 28,188	30,810 30,265	32,308 31,051	167 197	4.7 7.4	4.9 2.6		
Columbus, IN	7,934 2,402	2,499	2,659	7.8 4.0	6.4	32,968	33,955	35,712	93	3.0	5.2		
Columbus, OH	56.971	59,674	62,698	4.7	5.1	33,725	34,960	36,335	80	3.7	3.9		
Corpus Christi, TX	11,076	11,816	12,700	6.7	7.5	27,068	28,603	30,543	216	5.7	6.8		
Corvallis, OR	2,727	2,883	3,031	5.7	5.1	34,809	36,685	38,332 26,601	54	5.4	4.5		
Cumberland, MD-WV	2,414	2,540	2,654	5.2	4.5	23,943	25,352		330	5.9	4.9		

Table 1. Personal Income and Per Capita Personal Income by Metropolitan Area, 2004–2006—Continues

-		e		Per capita personal income 1								
Area name	Millions of dollars			Percent ch preceding			Dollars		Rank in United States		Percent change from preceding period	
	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2005	2006 ^p	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2006 p	2005	2006 ^p	
Metropolitan Statistical Areas³—Continued												
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX Dalton, GA	202,282 3.416	216,667 3,612	235,277 3,803	7.1 5.7	8.6 5.3	35,521 26,336	37,209 27,382	39,187 28,300	45 295	4.8 4.0	5.3 3.4	
Danville, IL	2,023	2,060	2,158	1.8	4.7	24,513	25,068	26,334	336	2.3	5.1	
Danville, VA	2,677	2,788 12,224	2,816 13.039	4.2 4.4	1.0	24,802	25,951	26,293		4.6	1.3 6.3	
Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL Dayton, OH	11,713 25,935	26,744	27,950	3.1	6.7 4.5	31,226 30,746	32,513 31,792	34,559 33,316		4.1 3.4	6.3 4.8	
Decatur, AL	4,136	4,364	4,590	5.5	5.2	28,086	29,436	30,695	211	4.8	4.3	
Decatur, IL Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL	3,437 12,971	3,603 13,830	3,762 14,789	4.8 6.6	4.4 6.9	31,163 27,117	32,808 28,347	34,420 29,783	119 243	5.3 4.5	4.9 5.1	
Denver-Aurora, CO	94,402	100,066	106,706	6.0	6.6	40,583	42,369	44,299	16	4.4	4.6	
Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI	18,824 161,831	19,750 168,038	21,144 170,600	4.9 3.8	7.1 1.5	36,724 36,094	37,737 37,515	39,579 38,174		2.8 3.9	4.9 1.8	
Dothan, AL	3,678	3,909	4,163	6.3	6.5	27,295	28,708	30,114	226	5.2	4.9	
Dover, DE	3,801 2,696	4,045 2,808	4,230 2,983	6.4 4.2	4.6 6.2	27,382 29,549	28,196 30,650	28,658 32,290		3.0 3.7	1.6 5.4	
Duluth, MN-WI	8,034	8,275	8,639	3.0	4.4	29,150	30,092	31,502	188	3.2	4.7	
Durham, NC	15,260 4,247	16,011 4,441	17,304 4,686	4.9 4.5	8.1 5.5	33,937 27,785	35,097 28,876	37,262 30,223		3.4 3.9	6.2 4.7	
Eau Claire, WI El Centro, CA	3,239	3,413	3,547	4.5 5.4	3.9	21,765	21,899	22,127	359	2.9	1.0	
Elizabethtown, KY	3,122	3,280	3,474	5.1	5.9	28,600	29,689	31,330	191	3.8	5.5	
Elkhart-Goshen, IN Elmira, NY	5,896 2,310	6,195 2,444	6,461 2,576	5.1 5.8	4.3 5.4	30,760 25,716	31,725 27,456	32,613 29,064		3.1 6.8	2.8 5.9	
El Paso, TX	15,727	16,771	17,980	6.6	7.2	22,074	23,256	24,420	348	5.4	5.0	
Erie, PA Eugene-Springfield, OR	7,404 9,415	7,754 9,981	8,098 10,538	4.7 6.0	4.4 5.6	26,363 28,416	27,676 29,841	28,941 31,189	266 195	5.0 5.0	4.6 4.5	
Evansville, IN-KY	10,858	11,373	11,926	4.7	4.9	31,234	32,579	34,040	128	4.3	4.5	
Fairbanks, AK Fargo, ND-MN	2,745 5,751	2,939 6,033	3,140 6,444	7.0 4.9	6.8 6.8	31,641 31,510	33,568 32,758	36,191 34,462	86 117	6.1 4.0	7.8 5.2	
Farmington, NM	2,818	3,052	3,344	8.3	9.5	22,716	24,260	26,437	334	6.8	9.0	
Fayetteville, NC	9,794 10,640	10,746 11,380	11,188 12,130	9.7 7.0	4.1 6.6	28,398 27,144	31,632 27,994	32,776 28,822	151 268	11.4 3.1	3.6 3.0	
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MOFlagstaff, AZ	3,227	3,473	3,695	7.0	6.4	26,328	28,045	29,574	251	6.5	5.5	
Flint, MI	12,110	12,197	12,574	0.7	3.1	27,352	27,550	28,450	289	0.7	3.3	
Florence, SCFlorence-Muscle Shoals, AL	5,204 3,510	5,445 3,699	5,758 3.926	4.6 5.4	5.8 6.1	26,458 24,723	27,551 26,041	28,957 27,520	265 313	4.1 5.3	5.1 5.7	
Fond du Lac, WI	3,088	3,215	3,349	4.1	4.2	31,346	32,509	33,745	133	3.7	3.8	
Fort Collins-Loveland, CO Fort Smith, AR-OK	8,816 7,030	9,330 7,514	9,893 8.009	5.8 6.9	6.0 6.6	32,796 24,956	34,323 26,420	35,812 27,729		4.7 5.9	4.3 5.0	
Fort Walton Beach-Crestview-Destin, FL	5,968	6,393	6,792	7.1	6.2	33,034	35,275	37,673	63	6.8	6.8	
Fort Wayne, INFresno, CA	12,116 21,859	12,620 22,796	13,221 24,173	4.2 4.3	4.8 6.0	30,188	31,223 25,961	32,399 27,107	164 320	3.4 2.8	3.8 4.4	
Gadsden, AL	2,603	2,744	2,872	5.4	4.7	25,257 25,289	26,658	27,782		5.4	4.4	
Gainesville, FL	6,648 4,133	7,194 4.405	7,662 4.773	8.2 6.6	6.5 8.4	27,927 25,757	29,951 26.486	31,402 27,548		7.2 2.8	4.8 4.0	
Glens Falls, NY	3,441	3,607	3,774	4.8	4.6	26,947	28,057	29,151	260	4.1	3.9	
Goldsboro, NC	2,847	2,976 2,795	3,064 2,879	4.5 4.1	3.0	24,982	26,141	26,914		4.6 4.9	3.0	
Grand Forks, ND-MNGrand Junction, CO	2,683 3,472	3,744	4,093	7.8	3.0 9.3	27,670 27,282	29,021 28,854	29,823 30,503		5.8	2.8 5.7	
Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI	23,610	24,519	25,500	3.9	4.0	30,832	31,836	32,943	147	3.3	3.5	
Great Falls, MTGreeley, CO	2,348 5,322	2,436 5,669	2,592 6,047	3.8 6.5	6.4 6.7	29,392 24,246	30,647 24,846	32,657 25,528	154 345	4.3 2.5	6.6 2.7	
Green Bay, WI	9,399	9,731	10,206	3.5	4.9	31,874	32,757	34,133	127	2.8	4.2	
Greensboro-High Point, NC	20,160 4,196	21,214 4,483	22,469 4,690	5.2 6.8	5.9 4.6	30,301 26,219	31,464 27,610	32,784 28,292	150 296	3.8 5.3	4.2 2.5	
Greenville-Mauldin-Easley, SC	16,497	17,402	18,547	5.5	6.6	28,275	29,464	30,809	204	4.2	4.6	
Gulfport-Biloxi, MSHagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV	6,538 6,865	6,682 7,392	7,023 7,856	2.2 7.7	5.1 6.3	25,901 28,127	26,245 29,468	30,815 30,494		1.3 4.8	17.4 3.5	
Hanford-Corcoran, CA	2,959	3,090	3,289	4.4	6.5	20,808	21,536	22,504		3.5	4.5	
Harrisburg-Carlisle, PA Harrisonburg, VA	17,554 2,782	18,322 2,960	19,161 3,106	4.4 6.4	4.6 4.9	33,880 25,115	35,188 26,419	36,471 27,379	77 316	3.9 5.2	3.6 3.6	
Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT	47,840	50,237	52,540	5.0	4.6	40,504	42,369	44,194	18	4.6	4.3	
Hattiesburg, MS	3,064 9,387	3,243	3,594	5.9 3.7	10.8 5.4	23,634	24,683	26,672		4.4 2.8	8.1	
Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, NC Hinesville-Fort Stewart, GA	1,490	9,735 1,617	10,263 1,666	8.5	3.0	26,602 20,922	27,349 22,522	28,518 22,501	356	7.6	4.3 -0.1	
Holland-Grand Haven, MI	7,539	7,845	8,162	4.1	4.0	29,810	30,743	31,677		3.1	3.0	
Honolulu, HI Hot Springs, AR	31,278 2,439	33,316 2,601	35,202 2,742	6.5 6.6	5.7 5.4	34,832 26,477	36,828 27,833	38,689 28,816		5.7 5.1	5.1 3.5	
Houma-Bayou Cane-Thibodaux, LA	5,067	5,176	6,211	2.1	20.0	25,581	26,008	30,610	212	1.7	17.7	
Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TXHuntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	191,918 7,040	209,818 7,337	229,517 7,726	9.3 4.2	9.4 5.3	36,676 24,617	39,199 25,701	41,429 27,062		6.9 4.4	5.7 5.3	
Huntsville, AL	11,467	12,314	13,260	7.4	7.7	31,628	33,403	35,196	104	5.6	5.3 5.4 5.7	
Idaho Falls, IDIndianapolis-Carmel, IN	2,983 56,900	3,179 59,683	3,469 63,058	6.6 4.9	9.1 5.7	26,991 35,180	28,054 36,391	29,651 37,849	248 59	3.9 3.4	5.7 4.0	
Iowa City, IA	4,522	4,701	5,015	4.0	6.7	32,837	33,925	35,936	88	3.3	5.9	
Ithaca, NY	2,759 4,304	2,849	3,016	3.3 3.7	5.9 2.4	27,579	28,462 27,299	30,040 27,878		3.2 3.1	5.5	
Jackson, MI Jackson, MS	4,304 15,015	4,461 15,765	4,568 16,841	3.7 5.0	6.8	26,480 29,133	30,277	27,878 31,808		3.1	2.1 5.1	
Jackson, TN	2,994	3,152	3,307	5.2	4.9	27,265	28,509	29,544	252	4.6	3.6	
Jacksonville, FLJacksonville, NC	40,348 4,370	42,785 4,922	46,314 5,115	6.0 12.6	8.2 3.9	33,014 28,320	34,288 32,705	36,239 33,945		3.9 15.5	5.7 3.8	
Janesville, WI	4,380	4,532	4,902	3.5	8.2	28,068	28,804	30,800	205	2.6	6.9	
Jefferson City, MO Johnson City, TN	4,032 4,687	4,188 4,916	4,380 5,205	3.9 4.9	4.6 5.9	28,352 25,054	29,139 26,023	30,215 27,229		2.8 3.9	3.7 4.6	
Johnstown, PA	3,742	3,920	4,096	4.8	4.5	25,195	26,525	27,869	303	5.3	5.1	
Jonesboro, AR Joplin, MO	2,681 4,096	2,772 4,263	2,989 4,530	3.4 4.1	7.8 6.3	24,156 24,987	24,768 25,688	26,370 26,876		2.5 2.8	6.5 4.6	
	4,030	4,203	4,550	4.1	0.3	۷4,507	25,000	20,070	324	۷.0	4.0	

Table 1. Personal Income and Per Capita Personal Income by Metropolitan Area, 2004–2006—Continues

		Pe	ersonal incom	е		Per capita personal income ¹						
Area name	Mil	lions of dollar	s		ange from g period ²		Dollars		Rank in United States	Percent ch precedin		
	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2005	2006 ^p	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2006°	2005	2006 ^p	
Metropolitan Statistical Areas³—Continued												
Kalamazoo-Portage, MI	9,457	9,696	10,109	2.5	4.3	29,739	30,411	31,618	186	2.3	4.0	
Kankakee-Bradley, IL	2,833	2,941	3,126	3.8	6.3	26,477	27,275	28,652	280	3.0	5.0	
Kansas City, MO-KS	66,426	69,560	74,266	4.7	6.8	34,498	35,769	37,748	62	3.7	5.5	
Kennewick-Richland-Pasco, WA	5,985	6,259	6,428	4.6	2.7	27,783	28,337	28,437	290	2.0	0.4	
Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood, TXKingsport-Bristol-Bristol, TN-VA	9,386 7,796	10,428 8,149	11,140 8,635	11.1 4.5	6.8 6.0	27,203 26,014	29,823 27,079	31,709 28,549	182 285	9.6 4.1	6.3 5.4	
Kingston, NY	5,208	5,438	5,811	4.4	6.8	28,657	29,811	31,798	181	4.0	6.7	
Knoxville, TN	19,202	20,266	21,643	5.5	6.8	29,694	30,898	32,430	163	4.1	5.0	
Kokomo, IN	3,053	3,128	3,246	2.4	3.8	30,224	30,887	32,180	171	2.2	4.2	
La Crosse, WI-MN	3,840	3,973	4,149	3.5	4.4	29,922	30,857	32,108	174	3.1	4.1	
Lafayette, IN	4,983	5,229	5,441	4.9	4.1	27,449	28,496	29,292	255	3.8	2.8	
Lafayette, LA	7,110	7,550	8,509	6.2	12.7	29,004	30,585	33,443	141	5.5	9.3	
Lake Charles, LA	5,047 3,780	4,540	5,740	-10.1	26.4	26,038	23,362	29,845	237	-10.3	27.8	
Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZLakeland, FL	14,376	4,116 15,659	4,462 16,755	8.9 8.9	8.4 7.0	21,066 27,459	22,055 28,896	23,118 29,834	353 239	4.7 5.2	4.8 3.2	
Lancaster, PA	15,171	15,991	16,684	5.4	4.3	31,216	32,638	33,741	134	4.6	3.4	
Lansing-East Lansing, MI	13,329	13,790	14,453	3.5	4.8	29,284	30,330	31,831	179	3.6	4.9	
Laredo, TX	3,863	4,230	4,536	9.5	7.2	17,653	18,809	19,598	361	6.5	4.2	
Las Cruces, NM	4,092	4,367	4,672	6.7	7.0	22,082	23,070	24,096	350	4.5	4.4	
Las Vegas-Paradise, NV	54,475	59,793	64,543	9.8	7.9	33,049	34,980	36,311	81 224	5.8	3.8	
Lawrence, KS	3,012 2,958	3,166 3,127	3,379 3,338	5.1 5.7	6.7 6.7	27,515 26,229	28,394 28,269	30,133 30,573	214	3.2 7.8	6.1 8.2	
Lebanon, PA	3,761	3,957	4,150	5.7	4.9	30,316	31,545	32,703	152	7.0 4.1	3.7	
Lewiston, ID-WA	1,611	1,662	1,771	3.1	6.6	27,496	28,135	29,722	246	2.3	5.6	
Lewiston-Auburn, ME	3,047	3,163	3,327	3.8	5.2	28,521	29,542	30,929	198	3.6	4.7	
Lexington-Fayette, KY	13,815	14,496	15,377	4.9	6.1	32,545	33,737	35,214	102	3.7	4.4	
Lima, OH	2,817	2,904	3,027	3.1	4.3	26,527	27,382	28,616	283	3.2	4.5	
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR	9,028 20,087	9,377	9,904	3.9	5.6	32,420	33,316	34,876	108	2.8	4.7 5.2	
Logan, UT-ID	2,392	21,059 2,504	22,513 2,679	4.8 4.7	6.9 7.0	31,645 21,958	32,770 22,609	34,485 24.106	115 349	3.6 3.0	6.6	
Longview, TX	5,545	6,014	6,536	8.5	8.7	27,763	29,903	32,137	172	7.7	7.5	
Longview, WA	2,429	2,553	2,691	5.1	5.4	25,265	26,268	26,932	322	4.0	2.5	
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA	453,033	475,263	505,197	4.9	6.3	35,115	36,746	39,011	46	4.6	6.2	
Louisville-Jefferson County, KY-IN	39,044	40,842	43,257	4.6	5.9	32,522	33,749	35,392	95	3.8	4.9	
Lubbock, TX	6,832	7,277	7,722	6.5	6.1	26,510	28,098	29,541	253 232	6.0	5.1	
Lynchburg, VA	6,434 6,411	6,808 6,751	7,161 7,072	5.8 5.3	5.2 4.8	27,566 28,195	28,846 29,613	29,897 30,836	202	4.6 5.0	3.6 4.1	
Madera, CA	2,995	3,164	3,262	5.7	3.1	21,560	22,198	22,292	357	3.0	0.4	
Madison, WI	19,913	20,939	22,085	5.2	5.5	37,490	38,993	40,671	34	4.0	4.3	
Manchester-Nashua, NH	15,385	15,967	16,875	3.8	5.7	38,634	39,865	41,895	28	3.2	5.1	
Mansfield, OH	3,351	3,432	3,523	2.4	2.7	26,204	26,900	27,742	308	2.7	3.1	
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	10,229	11,102	11,988	8.5	8.0	15,560	16,359	17,111	363	5.1	4.6	
Medford, OR	5,599	5,901	6,227	5.4	5.5	29,021	30,239	31,596	187	4.2	4.5	
Memphis, TN-MS-AR Merced, CA	40,258 5,362	42,133 5,538	44,758 5,618	4.7 3.3	6.2 1.4	32,342 22,647	33,529 22,862	35,113 22,867	106 354	3.7 0.9	4.7 0.0	
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL	190,430	203,465	216,523	6.8	6.4	35,589	37,507	39,628	41	5.4	5.7	
Michigan City-La Porte, IN	2,892	3,002	3,128	3.8	4.2	26,371	27,222	28,316	294	3.2	4.0	
Midland, TX	4,426	4,963	5,631	12.1	13.5	36,899	40,855	45,274	15	10.7	10.8	
Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI	55,370	57,604	60,876	4.0	5.7	36,644	38,164	40,316	37	4.1	5.6	
Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	127,315 2,923	132,210 3,062	138,735 3,258	3.8 4.7	4.9 6.4	40,915 29,520	42,091	43,696 32,127	20 173	2.9 3.7	3.8 5.0	
Missoula, MT	9,619	10,237	11,235	6.4	9.7	24,111	30,608 25,602	27,798	305	6.2	8.6	
Modesto. CA.	12,886	13,552	14,281	5.2	5.4	25,915	26,810	27,886	301	3.5	4.0	
Monroe, LA	4,450	4,609	4,906	3.6	6.4	26,071	27,018	28,485	287	3.6	5.4	
Monroe, MI	4,592	4,747	4,908	3.4	3.4	30,154	30,873	31,659	185	2.4	2.5	
Montgomery, AL	10,679	11,375	12,216	6.5	7.4	30,237	31,958	33,769	132	5.7	5.7	
Morgantown, WV	3,047 3,052	3,217 3,195	3,439 3,350	5.6 4.7	6.9 4.9	26,766 23,709	28,058 24,459	29,867 25,219	236 346	4.8 3.2	6.4 3.1	
Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA	3,333	3,594	3,811	7.8	6.1	29,990	31,754	32,942	148	5.9	3.7	
Muncie, IN	3,083	3,188	3,261	3.4	2.3	26,255	27,431	28,387	292	4.5	3.5	
Muskegon-Norton Shores, MI	4,363	4,495	4,662	3.0	3.7	25,084	25,692	26,606	328	2.4	3.6	
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC	5,654	6,095	6,639	7.8	8.9	26,006	26,789	27,835	304	3.0	3.9	
Napa, CA	5,405	5,787	6,180	7.1	6.8	40,835	43,669	46,286	11	6.9	6.0	
Naples-Marco Island, FL Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro-Franklin, TN	14,550	15,237	16,760	4.7	10.0	49,043	49,492	53,265	4	0.9	7.6	
Nasnville-Davidson-Muffreesboro-Franklin, TN New Haven-Milford. CT	48,620 31,856	52,092 33,182	56,026 34,735	7.1 4.2	7.6 4.7	34,888 37,803	36,655 39,292	38,503 41,094	51 32	5.1 3.9	5.0 4.6	
New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	40,230	26,552	39,290	-34.0	48.0	30,611	20,210	38,343	53	-34.0	89.7	
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	816,254	851,660	910,760	4.3	6.9	43,496	45,268	48,397	9	4.1	6.9	
Niles-Benton Harbor, MI	4,607	4,740	4,992	2.9	5.3	28,351	29,242	30,869	200	3.1	5.6	
Norwich-New London, CT	10,029	10,379	10,800	3.5	4.1	37,715	39,276	41,019	33	4.1	4.4	
Ocala, FL	7,495	8,161	8,843	8.9	8.4	25,721	26,893	27,968	299	4.6	4.0	
Ocean City, NJ	3,765	3,909	4,070	3.8	4.1	37,556	39,563	41,651	29 276	5.3	5.3 12.2	
Odessa, TXOgden-Clearfield, UT	2,914 12,862	3,206 13,654	3,659 14,824	10.0 6.2	14.1 8.6	23,457 26,951	25,590 28,070	28,707 29,788	276	9.1 4.2	12.2 6.1	
Oklahoma City, OK	35,735	37,970	41,278	6.3	8.7	31,325	32,875	35,210	103	4.2	7.1	
Olympia, WA	7,317	7,724	8,273	5.6	7.1	32,589	33,745	35,253	100	3.5	4.5	
Omaha-Council Bluffs. NE-IA	29,025	30,435	32,448	4.9	6.6	36,191	37,444	39,448	44	3.5	5.4	
Orlando-Kissimmee, FL	55,966	60,951	65,459	8.9	7.4	30,068	31,557	32,979	146	5.0	4.5	
Oshkosh-Neenah, WI	5,050	5,261	5,504	4.2	4.6	31,846	32,978	34,272	123	3.6	3.9	
Owensboro, KYOxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA	2,933 30,534	3,102 32,139	3,218 33,862	5.8 5.3	3.7 5.4	26,435	27,844	28,704	277	5.3 5.2	3.1 4.9	
		32 139	33 Kb2	5.3	5.4	38,367	40,358	42,342	27	5.2	д 4	

Table 1. Personal Income and Per Capita Personal Income by Metropolitan Area, 2004–2006—Continues

		Pe	ersonal income)		Per capita personal income ¹							
Area name	Millions of dollars			Percent cha preceding			Dollars		Rank in United States	Percent ch precedin			
	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2005	2006 p	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2006 ^p	2005	2006 ^p		
Metropolitan Statistical Areas³—Continued													
Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	15,780	16,811	17,887	6.5	6.4	30,455	31,800	33,474	139	4.4	5.3		
Palm Coast, FL Panama City-Lynn Haven, FL	1,850 4,546	2,076 4,888	2,270 5,231	12.2 7.5	9.3 7.0	26,913 28,836	27,297 30,298	27,316 31,996	317 176	1.4 5.1	0.1 5.6		
Parkersburg-Marietta-Vienna, WV-OH	4,252	4,350	4,584	2.3	5.4	26,123	26,811	28,347	293	2.6	5.7		
Pascagoula, MS	3,712	3,910	4,174	5.3	6.8	23,849	24,943	27,390	315	4.6	9.8		
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, FL Peoria, IL	11,629 11,633	12,361 12,410	13,200 13,456	6.3 6.7	6.8 8.4	26,800 31,694	28,217 33,690	30,000 36,349	230 79	5.3 6.3	6.3 7.9		
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD	224,974	236,467	250,482	5.1	5.9	38,869	40,727	42,988	23	4.8	5.6		
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ Pine Bluff, AR	114,926 2,392	125,718 2,442	136,972 2,559	9.4 2.1	9.0 4.8	30,892 22,791	32,414 23,433	33,911 24,695	131 347	4.9 2.8	4.6 5.4		
Pittsburgh, PA	83,356	87,003	91,790	4.4	5.5	34,810	36,530	38,717	48	4.9	6.0		
Pittsfield, MA	4,651	4,953	5,174	6.5	4.5	35,149	37,586	39,463	43	6.9	5.0		
Pocatello, ID Portland-South Portland-Biddeford, ME	2,045 17,405	2,143 17,948	2,271 18,878	4.8 3.1	6.0 5.2	23,914 34,078	25,048 34,986	26,303 36,752	337 76	4.7 2.7	5.0 5.0		
Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, OR-WA	70,144	74,282	79,399	5.9	6.9	34,018	35,430	37,145	72	4.2	4.8		
Port St. Lucie, FL	12,295	13,169	14,196	7.1	7.8	33,731	34,723	36,203	85	2.9	4.3		
Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY Prescott, AZ	21,372 4,519	22,451 4,876	23,632 5,336	5.1 7.9	5.3 9.4	32,260 23,696	33,647 24,521	35,191 25,653	105 344	4.3 3.5	4.6 4.6		
Providence-New Bedford-Fall River, RI-MA	54,685	56,721	59,967	3.7	5.7	33,639	35,025	37,178	71	4.1	6.1		
Provo-Orem, UT	8,881 3,745	9,557	10,544	7.6	10.3	20,043	20,731	22,236	358 332	3.4	7.3 3.7		
Pueblo, CO Punta Gorda, FL	4,334	3,870 4,613	4,064 4,951	3.3 6.4	5.0 7.3	25,024 27,588	25,634 29,890	26,575 32,055	175	2.4 8.3	7.2		
Racine, WI	6,304	6,574	6,917	4.3	5.2	32,571	33,676	35,275	99	3.4	4.7		
Raleigh-Cary, NC	31,680 3,697	33,907 3,902	36,905 4,108	7.0 5.5	8.8 5.3	34,615 31,474	35,624 33,093	37,107 34,588	73 112	2.9 5.1	4.2 4.5		
Rapid City, SD Reading, PA	11,931	12,543	13,412	5.1	6.9	30,501	31,655	33,434	142	3.8	4.5 5.6		
Redding, CA	4,991	5,209	5,506	4.4	5.7	28,103	29,104	30,596	213	3.6	5.1		
Reno-Sparks, NV Richmond, VA	15,397 40,189	16,259 42,873	17,308 45,122	5.6 6.7	6.5 5.2	40,065 34,808	41,284 36,537	43,209 37,790	22 61	3.0 5.0	4.7 3.4		
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	97,251	104,074	111,869	7.0	7.5	25,705	26,618	27,786	306	3.6	4.4		
Roanoke, VA	9,128	9,531	10,012	4.4	5.0	31,384	32,587	33,933	130	3.8	4.1		
Rochester, MN	6,406 33,821	6,640 35,106	6,952 36,762	3.7 3.8	4.7 4.7	36,652 32,531	37,517 33,857	38,715 35,503	49 94	2.4 4.1	3.2 4.9		
Rockford, IL	9,253	9,692	10,392	4.7	7.2	27,461	28,335	29,840	238	3.2	5.3		
Rocky Mount, NC	3,847	4,025	4,180	4.6	3.9	26,584	27,724	28,579	284 227	4.3	3.1		
Rome, GASacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville, CA	2,550 68,308	2,708 72,404	2,864 77,056	6.2 6.0	5.8 6.4	27,128 33,919	28,698 35,463	30,048 37,277	68	5.8 4.6	4.7 5.1		
Saginaw-Saginaw Township North, MI	5,580	5,665	5,874	1.5	3.7	26,712	27,256	28,472	288	2.0	4.5		
St. Cloud, MN	5,146 2,421	5,334 2,689	5,585 3,020	3.7 11.1	4.7 12.3	28,719 21,912	29,473 22,565	30,554 23,913	215 352	2.6 3.0	3.7 6.0		
St. George, UTSt. Joseph, MO-KS	3,113	3,231	3,474	3.8	7.5	25,541	26,528	28,402	291	3.9	7.1		
St. Louis, MO-IL	94,075	98,979	104,202	5.2	5.3	34,011	35,573	37,263	69	4.6	4.8		
Salem, OR Salinas, CA	10,167 14,179	10,790 14,752	11,459 15,667	6.1 4.0	6.2 6.2	27,500 34,203	28,677 35,775	29,794 38,193	241 56	4.3 4.6	3.9 6.8		
Salisbury, MD	3,095	3,309	3,483	6.9	5.2	27,113	28,549	29,577	250	5.3	3.6		
Salt Lake City, UT	31,341 2,891	33,634 3,022	36,520	7.3	8.6	30,731 27,431	32,133	34,204 29,889	126 234	4.6	6.4 4.0		
San Angelo, TX San Antonio, TX	53,643	57,384	3,161 62,110	4.5 7.0	4.6 8.2	28,981	28,738 30,393	31,979	177	4.8 4.9	5.2		
San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA	113,062	119,136	125,885	5.4	5.7	38,536	40,569	42,797	24	5.3	5.5		
Sandusky, OHSan Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA	2,530 207,385	2,620 218,475	2,683 233,248	3.5 5.3	2.4 6.8	32,095 49,989	33,426 52,543	34,350 55,801	121	4.1 5.1	2.8 6.2		
San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	83,986	88,883	95,671	5.8	7.6	48,226	50,468	53,533	3	4.6	6.1		
San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles, CA	8,379	8,766	9,288	4.6	6.0	32,949	34,305	36,140	87	4.1	5.3		
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Goleta, CA Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA	15,389 10,258	16,231 10,636	16,968 11,449	5.5 3.7	4.5 7.6	38,313 40,907	40,486 42,643	42,385 45,849	26 13	5.7 4.2	4.7 7.5		
Santa Fe, NM	5,019	5,341	5,768	6.4	8.0	36,095	37,934	40,500	35 25	5.1	6.8		
Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CASarasota-Bradenton-Venice, FL	17,985 26,286	18,890 27,914	19,954 30,073	5.0	5.6 7.7	38,441 40,356	40,451 41,577	42,738 44,042	25 19	5.2 3.0	5.7 5.9		
Savannah, GA	9,423	10,183	10,998	6.2 8.1	8.0	30,431	32,486	34 367	120	6.8	5.8		
Savannah, GA Scranton-Wilkes-Barre, PA	16,131	16,818	17,558	4.3	4.4	29,295	30,547	31,875	178	4.3	4.3		
Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA Sebastian-Vero Beach, FL	131,813 5,643	133,475 5,886	144,337 6,415	1.3 4.3	8.1 9.0	41,593 45,336	41,608 46,219	44,228 49,305	17 7	0.0 1.9	6.3 6.7		
Sheboygan, WI	3,754	3,937	4,113	4.9	4.5	32,978	34,409	35,841	90	4.3	6.7 4.2		
Sherman-Denison, TX	2,878	3,060	3,277	6.3	7.1	24,846	26,207	27,659	310	5.5	5.5		
Shreveport-Bossier City, LA Sioux City, IA-NE-SD	10,998 4,059	11,463 4,187	12,248 4,366	4.2 3.2	6.9 4.3	28,947 28,361	30,004 29,392	31,668 30,431	184 220	3.7 3.6	5.5 3.5		
Sioux Falls. SD	7,193	7,604	8,165	5.7	7.4	35,390	36,576	38,351	52	3.4	4.9		
South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	9,694 6,831	10,067 7,111	10,497 7,567	3.8 4.1	4.3 6.4	30,556 25,908	31,700 26,656	33,008 27,912	145 300	3.7 2.9	4.1 4.7		
Spartanburg, SCSpokane, WA	12,202	12,862	13.746	5.4	6.9	28,061	29,203	30,773	208	4.1	5.4		
Springfield, IL	6,664	6,918	7,263	3.8	5.0	32,517	33,699	35,237	101	3.6	4.6		
Springfield, MA Springfield, MO	21,369 10,447	22,433 11,054	23,473 11,724	5.0 5.8	4.6 6.1	31,128 26,741	32,678 27,783	34,208 28,800	125 270	5.0 3.9	4.7 3.7		
Springfield, OH	3,912	4,042	4,238	3.3	4.8	27,507	28,485	29,872	235	3.6	4.9		
State College, PA	3,910	4,110	4,330	5.1	5.4	27,939	29,295	30,723	210	4.9	4.9		
Stockton, CA	16,603 2,515	17,332 2,627	18,273 2,762	4.4 4.5	5.4 5.1	25,570 23,867	26,071 25,042	27,144 26,445	319 333	2.0 4.9	4.1 5.6		
Syracuse, NY	19,583	20,291	21,242	3.6	4.7	30,011	31,195	32,677	153	3.9	4.8		
• •	, -	,		-			, -			-			

Table 1. Personal Income and Per Capita Personal Income by Metropolitan Area, 2004–2006—Table Ends

		P	ersonal income	е		Per capita personal income 1						
Area name	М	Millions of dollars			Percent change from preceding period ²		Dollars			Percent change from preceding period		
	2004	2005	2006 p	2005	2006 ^p	2004	2005	2006 ^p	2006 ^p	2005	2006 ^p	
Metropolitan Statistical Areas³—Continued												
Tallahassee, FL Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL Terre Haute, IN. Texer Haute, IN. Texerkana, TX-Texerkana, AR Toledo, OH. Topeka, KS. Trenton-Ewing, NJ Tucson, AZ Tulsa, OK. Tuscaloosa, AL Tyler, TX. Utica-Rome, NY Valdosta, GA Vallejo-Farifield, CA. Victoria, TX Virejania Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC. Visalia-Porterville, CA. Waxo, TX Warner Robins, GA Washington-Arimgton-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV. Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Wausau, WI Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH Wenatchee, WA. Wheeling, WV-OH Wenatchee, WA. Wheeling, WV-OH Wichita, KS Wichita Falls, TX Williamsport, PA Willington, NC Winchester, WA-WV. Winston-Salem, NC. Worcester, MA Yakima, WA York-Hanover, PA	9,501 82,692 4,285 3,409 19,679 6,803 15,893 24,881 28,763 5,562 7,850 2,924 13,048 3,082 4,058 51,739 9,190 5,673 3,347 239,826 4,836 3,946 3,214 2,784 4,228 3,204 8,594 3,219 14,004 27,565 5,647 12,374	9,944 87,999 4,428 3,620 20,269 7,093 16,811 26,704 30,723 5,801 5,963 8,110 3,099 13,759 3,300 4,304 54,438 9,669 6,026 3,580 255,740 4,986 4,146 3,320 2,914 4,088 19,763 4,432 3,311 9,357 3,468 14,801 28,806 5,851 12,822	10,504 94,311 4,609 3,868 21,105 7,432 17,999 28,722 33,723 6,240 6,402 8,535 3,283 14,537 3,573 4,509 57,062 10,056 6,399 3,812 270,903 5,231 4,358 3,455 3,094 4,262 21,467 4,740 3,492 10,068 3,699 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623 30,469 15,623	4.7 4.4 3.3 6.2 4.3 5.7 6.8 7.3 6.8 7.3 6.8 7.3 6.8 7.3 6.1 5.2 7.6 6.3 1.1 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.3 8.9 7.7 4.5 4.3 8.9 7.7 4.5 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9 8.9	5.6 7.2 4.1 6.9 4.1 7.6 9.8 7.4 5.2 5.7 8.3 4.8 4.8 4.8 4.9 5.9 4.9 5.9 4.1 6.2 4.3 6.5 6.9 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9 6.9	28,775 32,000 25,457 25,758 29,945 29,923 43,657 27,467 32,692 27,498 29,804 26,323 33,701 31,716 27,226 26,917 31,587 22,920 25,503 27,064 46,311 29,932 30,890 25,317 26,317 26,317 26,317 31,737 28,630 27,068 28,382 28,487 31,762 35,433 24,637	29,852 33,250 26,341 27,184 30,915 31,074 45,923 28,869 34,685 29,557 31,301 27,256 24,838 33,494 29,102 28,149 33,163 23,517 26,860 28,507 30,807 30,807 32,176 32,27,789 28,034 29,742 29,873 33,022 36,851 26,851	31,215 34,959 27,399 28,757 32,285 32,469 48,964 30,350 37,564 31,395 32,893 28,799 35,311 31,320 29,122 34,595 23,947 28,292 29,892 27,601 28,964 28,931 36,254 30,869 31,103 34,215 38,814 26,605	194 107 314 272 169 162 8 221 66 190 149 275 340 98 192 261 111 351 296 233 5 170 140 311 264 267 83 159 200 196 247 329 259 200 196 249 259 259 269 269 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 279 27	3.7 3.9 3.5 5.2 3.8 5.2 3.8 5.1 6.1 5.0 6.9 5.0 6.9 5.0 2.5 3.5 3.0 4.6 4.8 4.9 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	4.6 5.1 4.6 6.1 8.3 5.1 5.4 7.6 4.3 5.3 4.9 2 4.6 4.0 4.2 5.7 7.4 4.0 3.8 4.1 6.0 4.2 5.7 7.4 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4	
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA Yuba City, CA Yuma, AZ	15,894 3,864 3,558	16,352 3,998 3,814	17,137 4,303 4,002	2.9 3.5 7.2	4.8 7.6 4.9	26,700 25,559 20,265	27,670 25,602 21,005	29,197 26,594 21,336	257 331 360	3.6 0.2 3.7	5.5 3.9 1.6	

county-based definitions issued by the Office of Management and Budget in June 2003 (with revisions released in February 2004, March 2005, December 2005, and December 2006) for Federal statistical purposes.

Per capita personal income was computed using Census Bureau midyear population estimates.
 Percent change calculated from unrounded data.
 The metropolitan area definitions used by BEA for its personal income estimates are the new